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Abstract

Forest fires (paleo + modern) have caused charcoal particles to accumulate in the soil vertical profile in Amazonia. This forest compartment is a long-term carbon reservoir with an important role in global carbon balance. Estimates of stocks remain uncertain in forests that have not been altered by deforestation but that have been impacted by understory fires and selective logging. We estimated the stock of pyrogenic carbon derived from charcoal accumulated in the soil profile of seasonal forest fragments impacted by fire and selective logging in the northern portion of Brazilian Amazonia. Sixty-nine soil cores to 1-m depth were collected in 12 forest fragments of different sizes. Charcoal stocks averaged 3.45±2.17 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) (2.24±1.41 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)). Pyrogenic carbon was not directly related to the size of the forest fragments. This carbon is equivalent to 1.40% (0.25% to 4.04%) of the carbon stocked in aboveground live tree biomass in these fragments. The vertical distribution of pyrogenic carbon indicates an exponential model, where the 0-30 cm depth range has 60% of the total stored. The total area of Brazil’s Amazonian seasonal forests and ecotones not altered by deforestation implies 65-286 Tg of pyrogenic carbon accumulated along the soil vertical profile. This is 1.2-2.3 times the total amount of residual pyrogenic carbon formed by biomass burning worldwide in one year. Our analysis suggests that the accumulated charcoal in the soil vertical profile in Amazonian forests is a substantial pyrogenic carbon pool that needs to be considered in global carbon models.
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Introduction

Charcoal stored in terrestrial soils represents one of the ways by which carbon is positioned in the environment in relatively inert form and hence acts as a long-term reservoir because it does not easily recombine with the oxygen to form CO$_2$ (Druffel, 2004, Fearnside et al., 2001, Schmidt, 2004, Seiler & Crutzen, 1980). The charcoal is also transferred to ocean sediments by processes of erosion and river transport, adding to another long-term carbon pool (Suman, 1984). This charcoal is derived from incomplete pyrolysis of biomass and it is characterized by a high concentration of carbon and high resistance to natural degradation processes (Foereid et al., 2011, Forbes et al., 2006, Kuhlbusch & Crutzen, 1995).

Despite their importance as a “missing carbon sink”, these deposits are not counted in the IPCC Guidelines for the balance of global emissions and sinks of atmospheric CO$_2$ (IPCC, 2006, Lehmann et al., 2006, Santin et al., 2015). This omission is mainly because of uncertainty stemming from high variability in the spatial distribution and in the nature of biomass exposed to fire in different ecosystems (Glaser et al., 2002, Preston & Schmidt, 2006, Simpson & Hatcher, 2004). Environmental conditions (e.g., soil, vegetation type and climate) are also determinants affecting the frequency of surface soil charcoal formation, consumption of charcoal in subsequent fires and the quality of the charcoal formed (Bird et al., 2015). Irregularity in charcoal deposition rates directly influences vertical accumulation and spatial distribution of pyrogenic carbon (Forbes et al., 2006, IPCC, 2006).

In contrast to studies in temperate and boreal regions (DeLuca & Aplet, 2008, Licata & Sanford, 2012), uncertainty regarding charcoal in the Amazon lies mainly in the fact that most studies involving charcoal distribution in the soil profile were designed to answer other questions: (i) paleo-environmental dynamics (Cordeiro et al., 2014, Meneses et al., 2013, Toledo & Bush, 2007), (ii) arqueological evidence for indigenous land uses (Levis et al.,...
2012), (iii) formation of anthropogenic black soils (Glaser et al., 2002, Lehmann et al., 2003, Roosevelt, 2013) and (iv) soil charcoal formation by clearing and biomass burning associated with deforestation (Fearnside et al., 2007, Graça et al., 1999). However, the Brazilian Amazon still has a vast area of intact forests (> 3.0 × 10^6 km^2 not disturbed by recent deforestation), where realistic estimates of carbon stocks in different compartments are needed to improve understanding of the region’s role in regulating global climate (Nogueira et al., 2015, Saatchi et al., 2007).

In general, charcoal dispersed along the soil vertical profile under forests that have not been perturbed by recent deforestation comes from biomass burning following two process: (i) paleo-fires that occurred throughout the Holocene in Amazonia (Meggers, 1994, Saldarriaga & West, 1986, Sanford et al., 1985) associated with human disturbances and/or climatic anomalies (Bassini & Becker, 1990, Hermanowski et al., 2015, Santos et al., 2000) and, (ii) modern forest fires in the post-1970 period, where severe droughts and feedbacks associated with forest selective logging increase risk of understory fires (Laurance & Williamson, 2001, Morton et al., 2013, Nepstad et al., 2004). Charcoal formed by paleo-fires is relatively stable in the deeper soil layers, while modern forest fires have an additive effect on pyrogenic carbon in the surface layers. This effect is most dramatic in the set of seasonal forests and ecotones that represent much of the forest area in the southern and northern “arcs of deforestation” in Amazonia (Barni et al., 2015, Brazil-INPE, 2013, Fearnside et al., 2009). Since these forest types have often been subjected to selective logging and are very sensitive to severe droughts, there is a higher incidence of forest fires in these regions (Alencar et al., 2015, Aragão et al., 2008, Brienen et al., 2015). In this case, the charcoal formed will depend on the size of the affected area and on the degree of impact of selective logging on forest structure, as these factors determine the amount of necromass exposed to fire (Alencar et al.,
Estimates of charcoal stock in these forests reduce uncertainty and improve understanding of carbon sources and sinks in Amazonia.

The aim of this study was to estimate the carbon stock derived from soil charcoal accumulated in the vertical profile of seasonal forests affected by fire and selective logging in the Brazilian Amazon’s northern “arc of deforestation”. These remnants are natural paleoclimatic forest fragments that have a history of both Holocene fires (Desjardins et al., 1996) and modern forest fires (Santos et al., 2013), which can provide important clues to the spatial and vertical variability of charcoal carbon deposits (fossil + modern). Our objectives were to (i) determine charcoal carbon stocks using the size of forest fragments as a spatial predictor and (ii) determine the pattern of distribution of charcoal carbon along the soil vertical profile. The results extend knowledge of pyrogenic carbon stocks in Amazonia and provide information for inclusion of this forest compartment in national estimates of greenhouse-gas emissions.
Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in the Nova Amazônia I Settlement Project (PANA-I) in an area of ~440 km² located ~35 km northwest of the city of Boa Vista, capital of the state of Roraima (Fig. 1). This area is situated in the ecotone zone of forest-savanna of the Branco River-Rupununi River region, on the border with Venezuela and Guyana (Barbosa et al., 2007, Huber et al., 2006). We mapped 34 remnants (forest fragments or forest islands) of semideciduous seasonal forests with paleoclimatic origin. The fragments were naturally dispersed over a landscape with low relief and altitude (~90 m a.s.l.). Forest fragments in the forest-savanna ecotone in Roraima have been exposed to frequent impact of selective logging and understory forest fire but have been relatively resilient in maintaining their size despite constant disturbances (Couto-Santos et al., 2014). Fabaceae and Sapotaceae are the most abundant plant families in these fragments, while Pouteria surumuensis Baehni (Sapotaceae), the main tree species, is a pioneer (Jaramillo, 2015, Santos et al., 2013).

All forest fragments in this region are on Oxisol with sandy clay-loam texture, moderate acidity, low fertility and organic matter content generally decreasing with increasing depth (Fig. S1, Supplementary Material). Data from the Brazilian National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) station for the city of Boa Vista indicate that the driest months are between December and March, annual rainfall varies from 1500 to 1700 mm and average annual temperature is $27.8 \pm 0.6 ^\circ C$; all of these values are consistent with the Aw climate according to the Köppen classification (Barbosa et al., 2012).

Experimental Design
Twelve fragments (sample units or sample sites) were randomly sampled. In each fragment, two or three equidistant transects were laid out in the north-south direction, where soil samples (subsamples) were collected with a "bipartite root auger" (Eijkelkamp, Giesbeek, The Netherlands). The sampler is a cylindrical tube 8 cm in diameter. Each core was taken from a profile to 100 cm depth, divided into six intervals (0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, and 90-100 cm). The subsamples were arranged equidistantly along the transects in order to capture the variability in charcoal stocks between the edges and the interior of each fragment. Using several subsamples rather than a single soil core has been found to be a suitable tool for describing the spatial and vertical distribution of soil charcoal at each sample site (McMichael et al., 2012). Fire (paleo + modern) produces charcoal that is randomly and non-uniformly dispersed (Sanford & Horn, 2000). A single core for a sample site would, therefore, be inadequate for estimating soil-carbon stocks. In total, 69 soil cores (each divided into six depth ranges) were collected in the 12 forest fragments between December 2013 and February 2014, which is the dry period in this region (Table S1, Supplementary Material).

Charcoal Triage

Various direct and indirect methods exist for quantifying the different fractions of charcoal particles (Buma et al., 2014, Hammes et al., 2007, Skjernstad et al., 1999). We adopted a direct method to quantify macroscopic charcoal particles (≥ 1 mm in diameter), which were manually collected in each 10-cm depth interval. Coarse particles (≥ 2 mm in diameter) were directly separated from the air-dried (24 h) soil by sieving, while smaller particles (≥ 1 mm and <2 mm) were collected by the flotation method. The flotation method (Carcailllet, 2001) consisted of placing air-dried soil in a recipient with water to collect the floating charcoal pieces. Smaller particles (<1 mm) were discarded. Pieces of soil charcoal
saturated with water were considered to be insignificant because soil samples were collected in the regional dry period. Voucher specimens of the soil samples were deposited at the National Institute for Research in Amazonia base in Boa Vista, Roraima (INPA-NPRR).

Finally, all collected pieces were dried in an electric oven (± 102 °C) to constant weight.

**Data Transformation**

Charcoal quantities was converted to mass per unit area (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) for each depth range (0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50 and 90-100 cm). All values were adjusted for soil bulk density along the 1-m vertical profile, as suggested by Carcaillet & Talon (2001). Bulk density was estimated by Feitosa (2009) using a horizontal collection of undeformed samples obtained by the Kopecky Method (Embrapa, 1997) (Fig. S2, Supplementary Material). The charcoal mass in each depth interval not sampled directly (50-60, 60-70, 70-80 and 80-90 cm) was estimated indirectly using the exponential regression model with the highest coefficient of determination (R\(^2\)) for each forest fragment. We used the final range of 90-100 cm as a proxy to calibrate the curve of the models obtained in each fragment following an exponential decay pattern similar to that observed for micro charcoal flux in lake sediments in Roraima (Cordeiro *et al.*, 2014). Finally, charcoal stock for each forest fragment was calculated as an arithmetic mean of the set of subsamples for each depth interval.

To transform charcoal mass values (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) into pyrogenic carbon stock (Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) we used the average carbon concentration of 64.95% estimated for charcoal pieces formed by biomass burning in the ecotone of Roraima (Barbosa & Fearnside, 1996). After this procedure, a single regression model for the carbon stock derived from charcoal mass was derived in order to determine the general vertical distribution pattern for the 1-m profile.
Data Analysis

The data set was subjected to normality tests. Pyrogenic carbon stock (dependent variable) was related to the area of each forest fragment (independent variable) for the purpose of checking general spatial patterns of carbon deposits on the basis of the current size of these fragments. The relation between total pyrogenic carbon stock (to 1-m depth) and the aboveground live biomass of trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 10 cm was calculated as a percentage. Tree biomass in each fragment was obtained from Jaramillo (2015). Carbon concentration in live tree biomass was considered to be 48.5% (Silva, 2007). The purpose of this calculation was to create a reference value for seasonal forests affected by fire and selective logging, which is easy to integrate into general models of carbon flux, as suggested by Forbes et al. (2006). Finally, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; Bartlett’s test) was used to verify differences in the means and variances of the carbon stocks along the soil vertical profile of all fragments (vertical variability). All analyses were performed with R software (R Core Team, 2014).
Results

Charcoal and Pyrogenic Carbon

All forest fragments (sample units) contained charcoal particles along the soil vertical profile to 1-m depth, indicating that fire (paleo + modern) disturbances have been relatively recurrent in these seasonal ecosystems. Only nine sub-samples (13%) contained no carbon particles (≥ 1 mm) along the soil vertical profile. There were no particles larger than 15 mm in diameter in any of the sub-samples assessed. Estimated soil charcoal stock considering all fragments analyzed was 3.45±2.17 Mg ha$^{-1}$ while pyrogenic carbon (2.24±1.41 Mg C ha$^{-1}$) was equivalent to 1.40% (range 0.25% to 4.04%) of the carbon stock in aboveground live biomass of trees with DBH ≥ 10 cm (Table 1). High variability was found among the charcoal stocks in the 12 forest fragments (Bartlett's test, $p < 0.0001$). Forest-fragment size was a weak predictor of pyrogenic carbon stock due to high variability within each fragment (Fig. 2).

Vertical Variability

Estimates of carbon stock in soil charcoal differed along the vertical profile (one-way ANOVA; $F_{0.05} = 4.1719; p < 0.0001$), with the largest single carbon concentration (26.5%) occurring in the 10-20 cm range (Fig. 3a,b; Table S2 in Supplementary Material). The first soil layers (0-30 cm) held 60.5% of the total carbon stock while the deepest layer (90-100 cm) held the lowest percentage (4.2%). The distribution of pyrogenic carbon along the soil vertical profile was calculated as an exponential decay pattern with high heterogeneity of variances in
the values observed at different depths (Bartlett’s test \( t_{0.05} \), \( p < 0.00001 \)). The general decay pattern for pyrogenic carbon followed the model below:

\[
Y = 0.5299 \times e^{-0.022 \times X} \quad (R^2 = 0.8618)
\]

Where \( Y = \) pyrogenic carbon stock (Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and \( X = \) midpoint of the depth interval (cm).
The soil charcoal stock observed along the 1-m profile shows that incidence of fires (paleo + modern) over space and time have been determining the accumulation of pyrogenic carbon in seasonal forest soils in this area of the Amazon region. Values for charcoal stocks found under these forest fragments in Roraima (range 0.79-7.22 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) are close to the means found under forests near San Carlos de Rio Negro, Venezuela (4.6-13.9 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) (Sanford \textit{et al.}, 1985). Although our values do not include small charcoal particles (<1 mm in diameter) along the soil vertical profile, and therefore can be considered as conservative, their order of magnitude indicates that this forest compartment cannot be neglected in regional estimates of carbon stocks and flows.

Pyrogenic carbon stock (range 0.46-4.69 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) found along the soil vertical profile is also of the same order of magnitude as charcoal carbon formed by modern biomass burning following deforestation at a variety of locations throughout Brazilian Amazonia (1.6-6.0 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) (Fearnside \textit{et al.}, 1999, Fearnside \textit{et al.}, 2001, Graça \textit{et al.}, 1999, Righi \textit{et al.}, 2009). In this case, pyrogenic carbon represents 2.2% of the total carbon affected by fire, but, since it is derived from burning primary forests for agricultural purposes, the larger amounts of necromass exposed to fire make combustion more intense (Fearnside, 2002). This reference value for deforestation in primary forests should not be confused with the soil pyrogenic carbon stocks (1-m depth) for forests that were not recently cleared but have been impacted by understory fires and selective logging in the modern period (e.g., 1.40% of the aboveground live carbon in trees in this study). Modern accumulation contributes a smaller amount (0.01-0.26 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) for each understory fire) and is regulated by different processes and rates of charcoal formation and consumption (Barbosa & Fearnside, 1999). Therefore, our
value for pyrogenic carbon stock represents a substantial fossilized charcoal deposit, in addition to the smaller quantity of pyrogenic carbon produced in the modern age and infrequently deposited in the topsoil.

The values given here show that accumulation of pyrogenic carbon (fossil + modern) in this type of forest is not related to the area of the forest fragments but indicates high spatial variability among their individual carbon stocks. This is suggested as a pattern for tropical soils indicating that the history of paleo-fires and the availability and distribution of biomass exposed to fire are the most important factors (Bird et al., 2015, Power et al., 2008, Titiz & Sanford Jr., 2007). These factors are also consistent with what has been observed in uncontrolled savanna fires in the areas adjacent to the fragments we studied in Roraima.

Modern uncontrolled fires in the Roraima savanna are frequent, of low intensity and occur at random (Barbosa & Fearnside, 2005), spreading in the understory of the forest fragments and producing soil charcoal at different temporal and spatial scales. This charcoal source is in addition to that from sporadic deforestation of small portions of the fragments for subsistence farming (both modern and ancient). However, independent of the mix of processes (paleo-fires, swidden agriculture and modern understory fires), the soil charcoal formed may be considered as a proportion of the biomass affected by fire in the depositional environment (Power et al., 2008). We therefore suggest using the reference range (0.25% to 4.04% of aboveground carbon in live trees) to estimate pyrogenic carbon stocks in the soil vertical profile (1-m depth) under seasonal forests with a history of Holocene fires and with frequent impacts from selective logging and understory fires. Although uncertainties are still substantial, aboveground carbon in live trees is easiest to estimate and provides a realistic alternative basis for estimation that avoids subjective values that are far from the reality of observed carbon stocks.
Differences in the distribution charcoal deposits along the soil vertical profile are consistent with Amazonian paleoclimate studies in ecotone areas in Roraima (Cordeiro et al., 2014, Desjardins et al., 1996), where the highest charcoal concentration was found in the layers closest to the soil surface. This is a relatively steady pattern in ecotones in the northern and southern “arcs of deforestation” in Brazilian Amazonia, and a relation of this pattern to modern climate stability has been suggested (Bush et al., 2008). On the other hand, the vertical model of soil charcoal distribution found in our study does not have the same decay pattern as that observed in other Amazon sites. For example, in forests in Guyana (Hammond et al., 2007) and in ombrophilous forest close to Manaus in central Amazonia (Piperno & Becker, 1996, Santos et al., 2000), soil charcoal presence was highest in intermediate layers (30-60 cm). These comparisons indicate that vertical patterns will vary in accord with regional historical factors such as fire frequency, climate change, bio-pedoturbation and land use at each location. Therefore, the vertical decay model (to 1-m depth) described in this study cannot be considered to be a standard for the whole of Amazonia, but it indicates that the distribution of charcoal deposits in the soil profile of the seasonal forest studied supports the largest concentration of pyrogenic carbon in the layers closest to the surface.

Based on our results, we conclude that carbon stocks (fossil + modern) derived from soil charcoal in seasonal forest fragments affected by fires and selective logging in Brazil’s state of Roraima show high spatial variability and an exponential decay pattern with depth in the soil profile. The largest pyrogenic carbon concentrations are associated with the layers closest to the surface. Our results imply that the remaining area of seasonal forests and ecotones that have not been altered by deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia as a whole (783.8 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2: \text{FUNCATE, 2006}) have a storage potential of 65-286 Tg of pyrogenic carbon to 1-m depth. This is 1.2-2.3 times the total residual pyrogenic carbon produced by biomass burning worldwide in one year (56-123 Tg: Bird et al., 2015), indicating the order of
magnitude of this forest carbon compartment. Despite the uncertainty involved in estimates of this magnitude, our analysis suggests that the substantial amount of pyrogenic carbon found in Amazon forest soils must be considered a matter of priority for incorporation into global carbon models.
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION CAPTIONS

Table S1- Geographical location, number of sub-samples and size of seasonal forest fragments (sampling units) in the forest-savanna ecotone of Roraima.

Table S2. Pyrogenic carbon derived from soil charcoal found along the 1-m vertical profile in seasonal forest fragments of different sizes. Values in italics were estimated by regressions (exponential model) for each fragment individually. Numbers in bold are outliers that were normalized by the mean of all values for the respective depth ranges: 10-20 cm (39.34 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), fragment area = 1.252 ha) and 90-100 cm (9.19 Mg ha\(^{-1}\); fragment area = 44.685 ha).

Figure S1. Physical and chemical characteristics of soils of forest fragments dispersed in the forest-savanna contact zone in Roraima. Where: sand, clay and silt in (%); SOM = Soil Organic Matter (g kg\(^{-1}\)), SB = Sum of Bases (cmol\(_c\) dm\(^{-3}\)) and Al\(^{+3}\) = Aluminum (cmol\(_c\) dm\(^{-3}\)). The gray dots are estimates to show the behavior of these properties along the 1-m depth soil profile. Vertical bars are standard deviation (SD).

Figure S2 – Estimation of soil bulk density (g cm\(^{-3}\)) in the 1-m vertical profile observed in forest fragments dispersed in the forest-savanna ecotone of Roraima, northern Brazilian Amazon. Bulk density was calculated as the ratio between the dry soil weight (g) of the samples and the Kopecky’s ring volume (cm\(^3\)), following Embrapa (1997). The exponential
model was derived from data by Feitosa (2009): $Y = 1.2582 + 0.0437 \times \ln(X)$ ($R^2 = 0.9943$);

where $Y =$ soil bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) and $X =$ midpoint of the depth interval (cm).
### Table 1. Charcoal, pyrogenic carbon and pyrogenic carbon fraction (1-m depth) as a function of carbon derived from the aboveground live biomass in trees with DBH ≥ 10 cm. Tree biomass was estimated by Jaramillo (2015). Carbon concentration in tree biomass assumed to be 48.5% (Silva, 2007). Standard deviation (SD) in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample unit</th>
<th>Fragment area (ha)</th>
<th>Tree biomass (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Tree carbon (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Soil charcoal (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Pyrogenic carbon (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Charcoal mass as % of tree biomass (%)</th>
<th>Charcoal carbon as % of tree carbon (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>164.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.693</td>
<td>257.4</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.285</td>
<td>202.1</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.307</td>
<td>201.3</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.589</td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.641</td>
<td>432.0</td>
<td>209.5</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.125</td>
<td>260.9</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.798</td>
<td>376.9</td>
<td>182.8</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.598</td>
<td>380.6</td>
<td>184.6</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>44.685</td>
<td>540.7</td>
<td>262.2</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>50.282</td>
<td>491.2</td>
<td>238.2</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>57.230</td>
<td>447.7</td>
<td>217.1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>329.4</td>
<td>159.7</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

Figure 1. Study area location indicating the boundaries of PANAI and the spatial distribution of the sampled forest fragments (black) in the state of Roraima, Brazil.

Figure 2. Relationship between pyrogenic carbon stock (Mg C ha\(^{-1}\)) and area (ha) of seasonal forest fragments in Roraima: \( Y = 1.76278 + 0.02254 \times X \) (n = 12; \( F_{0.05} = 1.114; p < 0.3161; R^2 = 0.1002 \)).

Figure 3. Vertical distribution of (a) charcoal stocks and (b) pyrogenic carbon sampled in the soil profile (1-m depth) in forest fragments in the forest-savanna ecotone of Roraima. Box-plots indicate median values of the first and third quartiles, and bars indicate ranges (maximum and minimum) for data from the 12 sampling units divided among all 10-cm soil intervals in the profile to 1-m depth. Points outside the maximum and minimum interval bars represent outliers. Values for 50-90 cm depth were estimated by regression (charcoal stocks and pyrogenic carbon), assuming the observed exponential decay pattern. Soil charcoal outliers for 10-20 cm (39.34 Mg ha\(^{-1}\); fragment area = 1.25 ha) and 90-100 cm (9.19 Mg ha\(^{-1}\); fragment area = 44.68 ha) were normalized by the average of all values in their respective depth ranges.
Figure 1. Study area location indicating the boundaries of PANA-I and the spatial distribution of the sampled forest fragments (black) in the state of Roraima, Brazil.
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Figure 2. Relationship between pyrogenic carbon stock (Mg C·ha⁻¹) and area (ha) of seasonal forest fragments in Roraima: $Y = 1.76278 + 0.02254 \times X$ (n = 12; F0.05 = 1.114; p < 0.3161; R² = 0.1002).
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Figure 3. Vertical distribution of (a) charcoal stocks and (b) pyrogenic carbon sampled in the soil profile (1-m depth) in forest fragments in the forest-savanna ecotone of Roraima. Box-plots indicate median values of the first and third quartiles, and bars indicate ranges (maximum and minimum) for data from the 12 sampling units divided among all 10-cm soil intervals in the profile to 1-m depth. Points outside the maximum and minimum interval bars represent outliers. Values for 50-90 cm depth were estimated by regression (charcoal stocks and pyrogenic carbon), assuming the observed exponential decay pattern. Soil charcoal outliers for 10-20 cm (39.34 Mg ha⁻¹; fragment area = 1.25 ha) and 90-100 cm (9.19 Mg ha⁻¹; fragment area = 44.68 ha) were normalized by the average of all values in their respective depth ranges.
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Soil charcoal as long-term pyrogenic carbon storage in Amazonian seasonal forests

Maryory M. Turcios¹, Margarita M. A. Jaramillo¹, José Frutuoso do Vale Jr.¹, Philip M. Fearnside², Reinaldo Imbrozio Barbosa³(*)

1. Federal University of Roraima (UFRR), Post-graduate Program in Natural Resources (PRONAT), Av. Cap. Ene Garcez 2413 - Bairro Aeroporto, 69304-000 Boa Vista, Roraima, Brazil

2. Department of Environmental Dynamics, National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA), Av. André Araújo no 2936, CEP 69 067-375, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

3. Department of Environmental Dynamics, National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA) – Roraima’s Office (NPRR), Rua Coronel Pinto 315 – Centro, 69301-150 Boa Vista, Roraima, Brazil

(*) Corresponding Author: Reinaldo Imbrozio Barbosa - Tel.: + 55 95 3623 9433; e-mail: reinaldo@inpa.gov.br
Table S1- Geographical location, number of sub-samples and size of seasonal forest fragments (sampling units) in the forest-savanna ecotone of Roraima.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Unit</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>Sub-samples</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>Longitude (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>03°06.06</td>
<td>60°55.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>03°07.45</td>
<td>60°50.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>03°00.60</td>
<td>60°53.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>03°06.39</td>
<td>60°49.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>03°08.15</td>
<td>60°50.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>03°02.18</td>
<td>60°51.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>03°13.25</td>
<td>60°49.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>03°06.66</td>
<td>60°54.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>03°06.56</td>
<td>60°53.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>44.69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>03°05.84</td>
<td>60°49.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>50.28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>03°05.32</td>
<td>60°55.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>57.23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>03°07.37</td>
<td>60°51.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250.49</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Pyrogenic carbon derived from soil charcoal found along the 1-m vertical profile in seasonal forest fragments of different sizes. Values in italics were estimated by regressions (exponential model) for each fragment individually. Numbers in bold are outliers that were normalized by the mean of all values for the respective depth ranges: 10-20 cm (39.34 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), fragment area = 1.252 ha) and 90-100 cm (9.19 Mg ha\(^{-1}\); fragment area = 44.685 ha).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragment Size (ha)</th>
<th>0-10</th>
<th>20-30</th>
<th>20-30</th>
<th>30-40</th>
<th>40-50</th>
<th>50-60</th>
<th>60-70</th>
<th>70-80</th>
<th>80-90</th>
<th>90-100</th>
<th>Total (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.252</td>
<td>0.4059</td>
<td><strong>0.9790</strong></td>
<td>0.4096</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
<td>0.2211</td>
<td>0.2146</td>
<td>0.2083</td>
<td>0.2021</td>
<td>0.5024</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.693</td>
<td>0.0532</td>
<td>0.0520</td>
<td>0.8431</td>
<td>0.2255</td>
<td>0.0450</td>
<td>0.0725</td>
<td>0.0630</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>0.0476</td>
<td>0.0291</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.285</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td>0.0984</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.3509</td>
<td>0.0535</td>
<td>0.0545</td>
<td>0.0493</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td>0.0296</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.307</td>
<td>0.5203</td>
<td>0.1324</td>
<td>0.2713</td>
<td>0.0456</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
<td>0.0387</td>
<td>0.0262</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
<td>0.0120</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.589</td>
<td>0.0910</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.6274</td>
<td>0.2777</td>
<td>0.6460</td>
<td>0.2381</td>
<td>0.2265</td>
<td>0.2155</td>
<td>0.2050</td>
<td>0.1029</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.641</td>
<td>0.3368</td>
<td>1.3038</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>0.1020</td>
<td>0.0292</td>
<td>0.0390</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.125</td>
<td>0.9816</td>
<td>0.7926</td>
<td>0.9590</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
<td>0.2167</td>
<td>0.0800</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.798</td>
<td>0.1869</td>
<td>0.1958</td>
<td>0.0751</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.598</td>
<td>0.3385</td>
<td>0.1024</td>
<td>0.1288</td>
<td>0.1355</td>
<td>0.6230</td>
<td>0.1343</td>
<td>0.1191</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.685</td>
<td>0.4933</td>
<td>0.7786</td>
<td>0.9882</td>
<td>0.8312</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>0.3600</td>
<td>0.3193</td>
<td>0.2832</td>
<td>0.2512</td>
<td><strong>0.3022</strong></td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.282</td>
<td>0.5507</td>
<td>2.3129</td>
<td>0.2545</td>
<td>0.7858</td>
<td>0.0736</td>
<td>0.1398</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>0.0628</td>
<td>0.0421</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.230</td>
<td>0.3834</td>
<td>0.0794</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
<td>0.0976</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0509</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>0.0334</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>0.0392</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (Mg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td><strong>0.12</strong></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S1. Physical and chemical characteristics of soils of forest fragments dispersed in the forest-savanna contact zone in Roraima. Where: sand, clay and silt in (%); SOM = Soil Organic Matter (g kg⁻¹), SB = Sum of Bases (cmolₑ dm⁻³) and Al⁺³ = Aluminum (cmolₑ dm⁻³). The gray dots are estimates to show the behavior of these properties along the 1-m depth soil profile. Vertical bars are standard deviation (SD).
Figure S2 — Estimation of soil bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) in the 1-m vertical profile observed in forest fragments dispersed in the forest-savanna ecotone of Roraima, northern Brazilian Amazon. Bulk density was calculated as the ratio between the dry soil weight (g) of the samples and the Kopecky’s ring volume (cm$^3$), following Embrapa (1997). The exponential model was derived from data by Feitosa (2009): $Y = 1.2582 + 0.0437 \times \ln(X)$ (R$^2 = 0.9943$); where $Y =$ soil bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$) and $X =$ midpoint of the depth interval (cm).
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